arXiv:1403.5302v1 [q-fin.PR] 20 Mar 2014

Asymptotic analysis of stock price densities and implied volatilities in mixed stochastic models

Archil Gulisashvili [a], **Josep Vives** [b]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA*

[b] *Departament de Probabilitat, Lògica i Estadística, Universitat de Barcelona, 08007-Barcelona (Catalunya), Spain*

Abstract

In this paper, we obtain sharp asymptotic formulas with error estimates for the Mellin convolution of functions defined on $(0,\infty)$, and use these formulas to characterize the asymptotic behavior of marginal distribution densities of stock price processes in mixed stochastic models. Special examples of mixed models are jump-diffusion models and stochastic volatility models with jumps. We apply our general results to the Heston model with double exponential jumps, and make a detailed analysis of the asymptotic behavior of the stock price density, the call option pricing function, and the implied volatility in this model. We also obtain similar results for the Heston model with jumps distributed according to the NIG law.

Keywords: Mixed stochastic stock price models; Mellin convolution; Heston model with double exponential jumps; implied volatility.

1 Introduction

The random behavior of the stock price in a mixed model is described by a stochastic process $X = X^{(1)}X^{(2)}$, where $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$ are strictly positive independent integrable processes on a complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}, \mathbb{P})$. Important examples of mixed models are jump-diffusion models and stochastic volatility models with Lévy type jumps. More information on models with jumps can be found in [11] and [27].

In this paper, we obtain asymptotic formulas with error estimates for the distribution density of the stock price and the implied volatility in special mixed stochastic stock price models. Let us suppose that the distributions $\mu_t^{(1)}$ and $\mu_t^{(2)}$ of the random variables $X_t^{(1)}$ and $X_t^{(2)}$ in a mixed stochastic model admit densities $D_t^{(1)}$ and $D_t^{(2)}$, respectively. Then the distribution μ_t of the stock price X_t also admits density D_t, which can be represented by the Mellin convolution

$$D_t(x) = D_t^{(1)} \overset{M}{\star} D_t^{(2)}(x), \quad x > 0 \tag{1}$$

(the definition of the Mellin convolution is given below). The fact that the distribution density of the product of two independent random variables is the Mellin convolution of their densities was mentioned in [13].

In [5] (see also [9]), D. Arandelović obtained an asymptotic formula for the Mellin convolution of functions defined on the half-line $(0,\infty)$. However, Arandelović's formula does not contain an

E-mail addresses: gulisash@ohio.edu (A. Gulisashvili), josep.vives@ub.edu (J. Vives).

error estimate. In Subsection 2.3 of the present paper, asymptotic formulas with error estimates are established for the Mellin convolution (see the formulas in Theorems 8 and 9). These formulas extend Arandelović's result. They are used in the paper to characterize the asymptotic behavior of the stock price density in special mixed stochastic stock price models. Note that asymptotic expansions of the Mellin convolution under different restictions than those imposed in Arandelović's work and in the present paper were obtained by R. A. Handelsman and J. S. Lew (see the presentation of their results in Section 3.4 of [28]).

One of the examples considered below is the Heston model with asymmetric double exponential jumps. Theorems 13 and 14 obtained in this paper deal with the case where the jump part of the mixed model dominates, while Theorems 15 and 16 concern the asymptotics of the stock price density in the case where the Heston part dominates. Weaker estimates were obtained earlier in [20]. In Section 7, we briefly discuss some other models.

In [23] (see also [24]), S. Kou introduced and studied a jump-diffusion model that is in fact a mixture of the Black-Scholes model with the double exponential jump model. An asymptotic formula (without an error estimate) for the distribution function of the stock price in the Kou model was obtained in [2], Example 7.6. In [29] and [16], an asymptotic formula with an error estimate was found for the call pricing function in the Kou model. In the present paper, we obtain a similar formula for a class of models, including the Heston model with double exponential jumps and the Kou model (see (92)). The error estimate in formula (92) is better than that in [16].

In our analysis of the stock price density in the Heston model perturbed by double exponential jumps, we use some of the results obtained in [23]. It is interesting to mention that the asymptotic behavior of the stock price density in the Heston model without jumps and that of the absolutely continuous part of the distribution associated with the double exponential jump part is similar (compare (31) with (78) and (32) with (79)). It follows that in the study of the asymptotic behavior of the stock price density in the mixture of the Heston model with the double exponential jump model, we have to take into account which part of the mixed model dominates the other. This dichotomy does not appear in the Kou model since the double exponential jump part always dominates the Black-Scholes part. Note that the similarity between the asymptotic behavior of the call pricing functions in the Heston model without jumps and in the Kou model was observed in [16] too.

Asymptotic formulas for the stock price density can be used to study the asymptotic behavior of option pricing functions and the implied volatility. In Section 6 of the present paper, we obtain asymptotic formulas with five explicit terms and error estimates for the implied volatility at extreme strikes in the Heston model with double exponential jumps. Similar formulas for the Heston model with NIG type jumps are established in Section 7. We use some of the methods developed in [15] and [18] to estimate the implied volatility. A little weaker asymptotic formulas for the implied volatility with four explicit terms were established for the Heston model without jumps in [15] and for the Kou model in [29] and [16]. These formulas can be extended to include five terms and an error estimate. We would also like to bring the reader's attention to the paper [3] concerning the asymptotic behavior of the implied volatility in exponential Lévy models.

We will next briefly overview the contents of the present paper. In Subsection 2.1, we define the Mellin convolution and introduce several related notions. Regularly varying functions play an important role in the paper. In Subsection 2.2, various definitions and facts from the theory of regularly varying functions are gathered, while Subsection 2.3 is devoted to Arandelović's theorem and its generalizations. In Section 3, known asymptotic formulas for marginal distribution densities of the stock price process in the Heston model are formulated. Section 4 is devoted to the Heston

model with double exponential jumps. Here we obtain new results concerning the jump part of the perturbed Heston model. We prove that the absolutely continuous part of the marginal distribution of the exponential Lévy process associated with the perturbed Heston model is regularly varying, and provide asymptotic formulas characterizing its asymptotic behavior near infinity and near zero. Section 5 of the present paper deals with density approximations in the Heston model with double exponential jumps. We obtain sharp asymptotic formulas with error estimates for the distribution density of the stock price in the perturbed Heston model (see Theorems 13-16). The generalizations of Arandelović's theorem obtained in Theorems 8 and 9 are used in the proofs. In Section 6, sharp asymptotic formulas with error estimates are provided for the implied volatility in the Heston model with double exponential jumps (see Theorems 18 and 19) and for more general models. Finally, Section 7 discusses the implied volatility in the Heston model with jumps distributed according to the symmetric centered NIG law.

2 The Mellin convolution and Arandelović's theorem

In this section, we discuss the Mellin transform and the Mellin convolution, formulate Arandelović theorem, concerning the asymptotics of the Mellin convolution, and obtain generalizations of Arandelović's theorem (Theorems 8 and 9).

2.1 The Mellin transform and the Mellin convolution

Definition 1. Let U be a measurable function on $(0,\infty)$. The Mellin transform of U is defined as follows:

$$MU(z)=\int_0^\infty t^{-z}U(t)\frac{dt}{t},\quad z\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{2}$$

The domain of the Mellin transform of U is the set of all $z\in\mathbb{C}$ for which the integral in (2) converges absolutely.

Definition 2. The Mellin convolution of two real Lebesgue measurable functions f and g on $(0,\infty)$ is defined by

$$f\overset{M}{\star}g(x)=\int_0^\infty f(t^{-1}x)g(t)\frac{dt}{t},$$

for those $x>0$ for which the integral exists.

It is clear that

$$f\overset{M}{\star}g(x)=\int_0^\infty f(t^{-1})g(xt)\frac{dt}{t}.$$

Moreover,

$$f\overset{M}{\star}g(x)=\widetilde{f}\overset{M}{\star}\widetilde{g}(x^{-1}), \tag{3}$$

where

$$\widetilde{f}(u)=f(u^{-1})\quad\text{and}\quad\widetilde{g}(u)=g(u^{-1}) \tag{4}$$

for all $u>0$. We also have

$$M\widetilde{U}(z)=MU(-z). \tag{5}$$

Let μ be a distribution on $[0,\infty)$, and let η be a real number. The moment of order η of the distribution μ is defined as follows:

$$m_\eta(\mu) = \int_0^\infty t^\eta d\mu(t). \tag{6}$$

It is not hard to see that if U is a distribution density, then $MU(\eta) = m_{-\eta-1}(U)$ for all real numbers η in the domain of MU.

2.2 Regularly varying functions

In the present subsection, several notions and results from the theory of regularly varying functions are discussed. These functions play an important role in the paper. A rich source of information about regularly varying functions is the book [9] by N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels.

Definition 3. A nonnegative measurable function f on $(0,\infty)$ is called regularly varying with index $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ if for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} \to \lambda^\rho \tag{7}$$

as $x \to \infty$. The class of all regularly varying functions with index ρ is denoted by R_ρ. Functions from the class R_0 are called slowly varying functions.

The next result is known as the uniform convergence theorem for regularly varying functions.

Theorem 1 (see Theorem 1.5.2 in [9])**.** *Let f be a nonnegative measurable function on $(0,\infty)$. Then the following are true:*

1. *Suppose $f \in R_\rho$ with $\rho > 0$ and f is bounded on every interval $(0,a]$ with $a > 0$. Then formula (7) holds uniformly in λ on each interval $(0,a]$, $a > 0$.*
2. *The condition $f \in R_0$ implies that formula (7) holds uniformly in λ on each interval $[a,b]$ with $0 < a < b < \infty$.*
3. *The condition $f \in R_\rho$ with $\rho < 0$ implies that formula (7) holds uniformly in λ on each interval $[b,\infty)$, $b > 0$.*

Another fundamental result in the theory of slowly varying functions is the representation theorem (see [9], Theorem 1.3.1).

Theorem 2. *For a nonnegative measurable function l, the condition $l \in R_0$ is equivalent to the following:*

$$l(x) = c(x) \exp\left\{ \int_a^x \frac{\varepsilon(u)}{u} du \right\}, \quad x > a, \tag{8}$$

for some $a > 0$, where the functions c and ε are such that $c(x) \to c \in (0,\infty)$ as $x \to \infty$ and $\varepsilon(u) \to 0$ as $u \to \infty$.

Definition 4. A function $l \in R_0$ is called a normalized slowly varying function provided that the function $x \mapsto c(x)$ in (8) is constant on the interval $[a,\infty)$ for some $a > 0$.

Let l be a normalized slowly varying function. Then Theorem 2 shows that

$$l(x) = \exp\left\{C + \int_a^x \frac{\varepsilon(u)}{u} du\right\}, \quad x > a, \tag{9}$$

for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a > 0$, where the function ε is such that $\varepsilon(u) \to 0$ as $u \to \infty$.

For a normalized slowly varying function l, we have

$$\varepsilon(x) = \frac{xl'(x)}{l(x)} \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{10}$$

(see [9], p. 15). If the function l is differentiable, then the equality in (10) holds everywhere on (a, ∞).

The following class was introduced by A. Zygmund.

Definition 5. A nonnegative measurable function l defined on $(0, \infty)$ belongs to the Zygmund class $\mathcal{Z}$ if, for every $\alpha > 0$, the function $\phi_\alpha(x) = x^\alpha l(x)$ is ultimately increasing and the function $\psi_\alpha(x) = x^{-\alpha} l(x)$ is ultimately decreasing.

The next known statement gives a description of the Zygmund class.

Theorem 3 (see Theorem 1.5.5. in [9])**.** *The class $\mathcal{Z}$ coincides with the class of normalized slowly varying functions.*

In the present paper, we discuss various asymptotic formulas with error estimates. Note that throughout the paper the statement $\phi_1(x) = O(\phi_2(x))$ as $x \to \infty$, where ϕ_1 is a real function and ϕ_2 is a positive function, means that there exist $c > 0$ and $x_0 > 0$ such that $|\phi_1(x)| \le c\phi_2(x)$ for all $x > x_0$. The explanation of the statement $\phi_1(x) = O(\phi_2(x))$ as $x \to 0$ is similar.

The following known definition introduces slowly varying functions with remainder (see [17], see also [9]).

Definition 6. Let l and g be nonnegative measurable functions on $(0, \infty)$ with $g(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$. The function l is called slowly varying with remainder g if for all $\lambda > 1$,

$$\frac{l(\lambda x)}{l(x)} - 1 = O(g(x)) \tag{11}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

We will denote the class of slowly varying functions with remainder g by R_0^g. It is not hard to see that $R_0^g \subset R_0$. The uniform convergence theorem for slowly varying functions with remainder is as follows.

Theorem 4 (see Corollary 2.2.1 in [9])**.** *Let $l \in R_0^g$ where $g \in R_0$. Then condition (11) holds uniformly in λ on every interval $[1, b]$, $b > 1$.*

The next statement, which is stronger than Theorem 4, provides a growth estimate in the variable λ in the uniform convergence result for slowly varying functions with remainder.

Theorem 5. *Fix $\delta \neq 0$, and let f and g be positive functions on $[0, \infty)$ such that $g \in R_0$ and $f \in R_0^g$. Suppose also that the functions f, g, $\frac{1}{f}$, and $\frac{1}{g}$ are locally bounded on $[0, \infty)$. Then there exists $A > 0$ such that*

$$|f(\lambda x) - f(x)| \le A f(x) g(x) \max\{\lambda^\delta, \lambda^{-\delta}\}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and $x \ge 0$.

The estimate in Theorem 5 is contained in part (b) of Theorem 3.8.6 in [9]. Note that the condition $f \in R_0^g$ implies the following inclusion: $f \in O\Pi_l$ where $l = fg$, and hence the conditions in Theorem 3.8.6 (b) hold (see [9] for the definition of the class $O\Pi_l$ and for more details).

The structure of slowly varying functions with remainder is known. The next result is the representation theorem for slowly varying functions with remainder (see [17], see also [9]).

Theorem 6. *Let $g \in R_0$ and $g(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$. Then $l \in R_0^g$ if and only if*

$$l(x) = \exp\left\{C + O(g(x)) + \int_a^x O(g(t))t^{-1}dt\right\} \tag{12}$$

as $x \to \infty$, where $C \in \mathbb{R}$, and the O functions are locally integrable.

Corollary 1. *Let $l \in Z$. Then $l \in R_0^{|\varepsilon|}$, where ε is the function appearing in formula (9).*

Proof. Since l is a normalized slowly varying function, formula (9) holds. This implies that formula (12) holds with $g = |\varepsilon|$. Next, using Theorem 6, we establish Corollary 1.

2.3 Arandelovic's theorem and its generalizations

The next statement was obtained by Arandelović (see [5, 9]).

Theorem 7. *Suppose the Mellin transform MU of the function U converges at least in the strip $\sigma \le \Re(z) \le \tau$ where $-\infty < \sigma < \tau < \infty$. Let f be a measurable function on $(0, \infty)$, and assume the following two conditions hold:*

1. *$f(x) \sim x^\rho l(x)$ as $x \to \infty$ where $\rho \in (\sigma, \tau)$ and $l \in R_0$.*
2. *The function $x \mapsto x^{-\sigma} f(x)$ is bounded on every interval $(0, a]$ where $a > 0$.*

Then

$$U \overset{M}{\star} f(x) \sim MU(\rho)[x^\rho l(x)] \tag{13}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

Note that there is no error estimate in formula (13). The next assertion provides such an estimate under certain additional restrictions.

Theorem 8. *Suppose the Mellin transform MU of a measurable function U converges at least in the strip $\sigma \le \Re(z) \le \tau$ where $-\infty < \sigma < \tau < \infty$. Let f be a measurable function on $(0, \infty)$, and assume the following conditions hold:*

1. *$f(x) = x^\rho l(x)(1 + O(h(x)))$ as $x \to \infty$ where $\rho \in (\sigma, \tau)$, $l \in R_0^g$ with $g \in R_0$, and $h \in Z$. The functions g and h in the previous formula satisfy $g(x) \to 0$ and $h(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$.*
2. *The functions g, l^{-1}, and g^{-1} are locally bounded on the interval (x_0, ∞) for some $x_0 > 0$.*
3. *The function $x \mapsto x^{-\sigma} f(x)$ is bounded on every interval $(0, a]$ where $a > 0$.*

Then

$$U \overset{M}{\star} f(x) = MU(\rho)[x^\rho l(x)](1 + O(g(x)) + O(h(x))) \tag{14}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

Proof. Let us first assume that

$$f(y) = y^\rho l(y) \quad \text{for} \quad y > y_0, \tag{15}$$

and put $x_1 = \max\{x_0, y_0\}$, where x_0 is such as in condition 2. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} U \overset{M}{\star} f(x) &= \int_0^{\frac{x_1}{x}} f(xv)U(v^{-1})\frac{dv}{v} + x^\rho \int_{\frac{x_1}{x}}^\infty v^\rho l(xv)U(v^{-1})\frac{dv}{v} \\ &= I_1(x) + I_2(x). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Since $xv < x_1$ in the first integral in (16), condition 3 implies the following:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x) &\le cx^\sigma \int_0^{\frac{x_1}{x}} v^\sigma U(v^{-1})\frac{dv}{v} = cx^\sigma \int_{\frac{x}{x_1}}^\infty y^{-\sigma-1}U(y)dy \\ &\le cMU(\sigma)x^\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < \rho - \sigma$, and take into account that $lg \in R_0$. Then the previous estimates imply that $I_1(x) = O(x^\sigma) = O(x^{\rho-\varepsilon})$, and hence

$$I_1(x) = O(x^\rho l(x)g(x)) \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty. \tag{17}$$

It follows that the integral I_1 can be incorporated into the error term in formula (14).

Our next goal is to estimate the integral I_2. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x) &= x^\rho \int_{\frac{x_1}{x}}^\infty [l(xv) - l(x)]v^\rho U(v^{-1})\frac{dv}{v} + x^\rho l(x) \int_{\frac{x_1}{x}}^\infty v^\rho U(v^{-1})\frac{dv}{v} \\ &= x^\rho l(x) \int_0^\infty v^\rho U(v^{-1})\frac{dv}{v} - x^\rho l(x) \int_0^{\frac{x_1}{x}} v^\rho U(v^{-1})\frac{dv}{v} \\ &\quad + x^\rho \int_{\frac{x_1}{x}}^\infty [l(xv) - l(x)]v^\rho U(v^{-1})\frac{dv}{v} \\ &= MU(\rho)[x^\rho l(x)] - x^\rho l(x) \int_{\frac{x}{x_1}}^\infty y^{-\rho}U(y)\frac{dy}{y} \\ &\quad + x^\rho \int_{\frac{x_1}{x}}^\infty [l(xv) - l(x)]v^\rho U(v^{-1})\frac{dv}{v} \\ &= MU(\rho)[x^\rho l(x)] + J_1(x) + J_2(x). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < \rho - \sigma$. Then, for large values of x, we have

$$|J_1(x)| \le x^\rho l(x) \int_{\frac{x}{x_1}}^\infty y^{-\sigma-\varepsilon}U(y)\frac{dy}{y} \le x^{\rho-\varepsilon} l(x) x_1^\varepsilon MU(\sigma).$$

Since $g \in R_0$ and $MU(\sigma) < \infty$, we obtain

$$J_1(x) = O(x^\rho l(x) g(x)) \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty. \tag{19}$$

It remains to estimate J_2. Denote by $\widetilde{l}$ and $\widetilde{g}$ the functions l and g, extrapolated by positive constants from (x_1, ∞) to $[0, \infty)$. Then $\widetilde{l} \in R_0^{\widetilde{g}}$. Moreover, condition 2 and the definition of the functions $\widetilde{l}$ and $\widetilde{g}$ imply that the functions $\widetilde{l}$, $\widetilde{g}$, $\widetilde{l}^{-1}$, and $\widetilde{g}^{-1}$ are locally bounded on $[0, \infty)$. Now, using Theorem 5, we see that for every $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that

$$|\widetilde{l}(xv) - \widetilde{l}(x)| \le A\widetilde{l}(x)\widetilde{g}(x) \max\{v^\delta, v^{-\delta}\}$$

for all $v > 0$ and $x \ge 0$. Recalling the definition of the functions $\widetilde{l}$ and $\widetilde{g}$, we see that for $x > x_1$ and $v > \frac{x_1}{x}$,

$$|l(xv) - l(x)| \le Al(x)g(x) \max\{v^\delta, v^{-\delta}\}. \tag{20}$$

It follows from the estimate in (20) that for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $x_1 > 0$ depending on δ and such that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2(x)| &\le Ax^\rho l(x) g(x) \int_{\frac{x_1}{x}}^\infty \max\{v^\delta, v^{-\delta}\} v^\rho U(v^{-1}) \frac{dv}{v} \\ &\le Ax^\rho l(x) g(x) \int_0^\infty \max\{v^\delta, v^{-\delta}\} v^\rho U(v^{-1}) \frac{dv}{v}, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

for all $x > x_1$. It is not hard to see that for small enough values of δ, the last integral in (21) is finite. Here we use the fact that $MU(s) < \infty$ for all $\sigma \le s \le \tau$ and the inequalities $\sigma < \rho < \tau$. Now, (21) implies that

$$J_2(x) = O(x^\rho l(x) g(x)) \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty. \tag{22}$$

Next, taking into account formulas (18), (19), and (22), we obtain

$$I_2(x) = MU(\rho)[x^\rho l(x)] + O(x^\rho l(x) g(x)) \tag{23}$$

as $x \to \infty$. Finally, it is easy to see that formulas (16), (17), and (23) imply formula (14). This establishes Theorem 8 in a special case where (15) holds.

We will next prove Theorem 8 in the general case. Suppose the conditions in the formulation of Theorem 8 hold. Then there exists $x_1 > 0$ such that $f(x) = x^\rho l(x) + x^\rho l(x)\eta(x)$ for all $x > x_1$, where η is a measurable function such that $|\eta(x)| \le Ah(x)$, for all $x > x_1$ and for some constant $A > 0$. Put $f_1(x) = f(x)\chi_{\{0<x<x_1\}}$, $f_2(x) = x^\rho l(x)\chi_{\{x>x_1\}}$, and $f_3(x) = x^\rho l(x)\eta(x)\chi_{\{x>x_1\}}$. Then

$$U \overset{M}{\star} f(x) = U \overset{M}{\star} f_1(x) + U \overset{M}{\star} f_2(x) + U \overset{M}{\star} f_3(x). \tag{24}$$

Applying the special case of Theorem 8 established above to the function f_2, we obtain

$$U \overset{M}{\star} f_2(x) = MU(\rho)[x^\rho l(x)](1 + O(g(x))) \tag{25}$$

as $x \to \infty$. In addition, reasoning as in the proof of (17), we obtain

$$U \overset{M}{\star} f_1(x) = O(x^\rho l(x) g(x)) \tag{26}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

We will next estimate the function $U \overset{M}{\star} f_3$, using the same ideas as in the estimate for the function $U \overset{M}{\star} f_2$. However, we will use the function $\widetilde{l} = lh$ instead of the function l. Recall that $l \in R_0^g$. Moreover, $h \in R_0^{|\varepsilon|}$, where ε is the function appearing in formula (9) for the function h (see Corollary 1).

We have

$$\left|\frac{\widetilde{l}(xv)}{\widetilde{l}(x)} - 1\right| \leq \left|\frac{l(xv)}{l(x)} - 1\right| \frac{h(xv)}{h(x)} + \left|\frac{h(xv)}{h(x)} - 1\right|.$$

Therefore, $\widetilde{l} \in R_0^{g+|\varepsilon|}$. It is clear that

$$\left|U \overset{M}{\star} f_3(x)\right| \leq Ax^\rho \int_{\frac{x1}{x}}^{\infty} v^\rho l(xv)h(xv)U(v^{-1})\frac{dv}{v}.$$

Now, reasoning as in the proof of formula (23), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} U \overset{M}{\star} f_3(x) &= O\left(x^\rho l(x)[h(x) + h(x)g(x) + h(x)|\varepsilon(x)|]\right) \\ &= O\left(x^\rho l(x)h(x)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

Finally, taking into account formulas (24) - (27), we see that formula (14) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 8.

A similar theorem characterizes the asymptotic behavior of the Mellin convolution near zero.

Theorem 9. *Suppose the Mellin transform MU of a measurable function U converges at least in the strip $\sigma \leq \Re(z) \leq \tau$ where $-\infty < \sigma < \tau < \infty$. Let f be a measurable function on $(0, \infty)$, and assume the following conditions hold:*

1. *$f(y^{-1}) = y^{-\rho} l(y)(1 + O(h(y)))$ as $y \to \infty$ where $\rho \in (\sigma, \tau)$, $l \in R_0^g$ with $g \in R_0$, and $h \in Z$. The functions g and h in the previous formula satisfy $g(y) \to 0$ and $h(y) \to 0$ as $y \to \infty$.*
2. *The functions g, l^{-1}, and g^{-1} are locally bounded on the interval (x_0, ∞) for some $x_0 > 0$.*
3. *The function $y \mapsto y^\tau f(y^{-1})$ is bounded on every interval $(0, a]$ where $a > 0$.*

Then

$$U \overset{M}{\star} f(x) = MU(\rho)[x^\rho l(x^{-1})](1 + O(g(x^{-1})) + O(h(x^{-1})))$$

as $x \to 0$.

Proof. Theorem 9 follows from Theorem 8, applied to the functions $\widetilde{f}(x) = f\left(x^{-1}\right)$ and $\widetilde{U}(x) = U\left(x^{-1}\right)$. Here we take into account (3), (4), and (5).

3 The Heston model

In this section, we gather several known results for the Heston model, which is a popular stochastic volatility model. It will be assumed in the sequel that the interest rate r is equal to zero. The

stock price process X and the variance process Y in the Heston model satisfy the following system of stochastic differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \mu X_t dt + \sqrt{Y_t} X_t dW_t \\ dY_t = (a - bY_t)dt + c\sqrt{Y_t} dZ_t, \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$, $c > 0$. In (28), W and Z are correlated standard Brownian motions such that $d\langle W, Z\rangle_t = \rho dt$ with $\rho \in (-1, 1)$. In the Heston model, the distribution μ_t of the stock price X_t admits density $D_t^{(1)}$. The initial conditions for the processes X and Y will be denoted by x_0 and y_0, respectively. The Heston model was introduced and studied in [21].

We have

$$X_t = x_0 \exp\left\{\mu t - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t Y_s ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{Y_s} dW_s\right\},$$

and the following formulas hold for the density $D_t^{(1)}$ in the Heston model in the case where $\mu = 0$ and $x_0 = 1$:

$$D_t^{(1)}(x) = A_1 x^{-A_3} \exp\left\{A_2\sqrt{\log x}\right\} (\log x)^{-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{a}{c^2}} \left(1 + O((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}})\right) \tag{29}$$

as $x \to \infty$, and

$$D_t^{(1)}(x) = \widetilde{A}_1 x^{\widetilde{A}_3} \exp\left\{\widetilde{A}_2\sqrt{\log\frac{1}{x}}\right\} \left(\log\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{a}{c^2}} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\log\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \tag{30}$$

as $x \to 0$. The constants appearing in formulas (29) and (30) will be described below. Formulas (29) and (30) were obtained in [19] in the case where $\rho = 0$, and in [14] for $-1 < \rho < 0$. A more detailed discussion of those and similar results can be found in [18].

For general x_0 and μ, we obtain

$$D_t^{(1)}(x) = B_1 x^{-A_3} \exp\left\{A_2\sqrt{\log x}\right\} (\log x)^{-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{a}{c^2}} \left(1 + O((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}})\right) \tag{31}$$

as $x \to \infty$, and

$$D_t^{(1)}(x) = \widetilde{B}_1 x^{\widetilde{A}_3} \exp\left\{\widetilde{A}_2\sqrt{\log\frac{1}{x}}\right\} \left(\log\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{a}{c^2}} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\log\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \tag{32}$$

as $x \to 0$. In (31) and (32), the constants B_1 and $\widetilde{B}_1$ are defined as follows:

$$B_1 = A_1 \left(x_0 e^{\mu t}\right)^{-A_3 - 1}$$

and

$$\widetilde{B}_1 = \widetilde{A}_1 \left(x_0 e^{\mu t}\right)^{\widetilde{A}_3 - 1}.$$

The proof of (32) uses (29) and the following simple formulas:

$$\exp\left\{r_1\sqrt{\log x + r_2}\right\} = \exp\left\{r_1\sqrt{\log x}\right\} \left(1 + O((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}})\right), \quad x \to \infty, \quad r_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad r_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$(\log x + r_3)^{r_4} = (\log x)^{r_4}\big(1 + O((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}})\big), \quad x \to \infty, \quad r_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad r_4 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The proof of (32) is similar. It is based on formula (30).

We will next provide explicit formulas for the constants appearing in formulas (29) and (30). Given $s \geq 1$, define the explosion time for the moment of order s by

$$T^*(s) = \sup\{t \geq 0 : \mathbb{E}[X_t^s] < \infty\},$$

and for any $t > 0$, let the s_+ be the upper critical moment defined by

$$s_+ = s_+(t) = \sup\{s \geq 1 : \mathbb{E}[X_t^s] < \infty\}.$$

For the Heston model, the explosion time T^* is explicitly known (see [4, 22]). The critical moment, for fixed t, can then be determined from $T^*(s_+(t)) = t$. The previous equality shows that $s_+(t) \geq 1$ is the generalized inverse of the function $T^*(\cdot)$.

The lower critical moment is defined as follows:

$$s_- = s_-(t) = \inf\{s \leq 0 : \mathbb{E}[X_t^s] < \infty\}.$$

For fixed $t > 0$, the quantities

$$\sigma_+ = -\left.\frac{\partial T^*(s)}{\partial s}\right|_{s=s_+} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \kappa_+ = \left.\frac{\partial^2 T^*(s)}{\partial s^2}\right|_{s=s_+}$$

are called the upper critical slope and the upper critical curvature, respectively. Similarly, the lower critical slope and curvature are defined by

$$\sigma_- = -\left.\frac{\partial T^*(s)}{\partial s}\right|_{s=s_-} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \kappa_- = \left.\frac{\partial^2 T^*(s)}{\partial s^2}\right|_{s=s_-}$$

respectively.

In formula (29), the constants A_1, A_2, and A_3 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 = {} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{a}{c^2}} y_0^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{a}{c^2}} c^{\frac{2a}{c^2}-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_+^{-\frac{a}{c^2}-\frac{1}{4}} \\ & \times \exp\left\{-y_0\left(\frac{c\rho s_+ - b}{c^2} + \frac{\kappa_+}{c^2\sigma_+^2}\right) - \frac{at}{c^2}(c\rho s_+ - b)\right\} \\ & \times \left\{\frac{2\sqrt{(b - c\rho s_+)^2 + c^2(s_+ - s_+^2)}}{c^2 s_+(s_+ - 1)\sinh\left[\frac{t}{2}\sqrt{(b - c\rho s_+)^2 + c^2(s_+ - s_+^2)}\right]}\right\}^{\frac{2a}{c^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$A_2 = 2\sqrt{2y_0}c^{-1}\sigma_+^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$A_3 = s_+ + 1.$$

In addition, the constants $\widetilde{A}_1$, $\widetilde{A}_2$, and $\widetilde{A}_3$ in formula (30) are as follows:

$$\widetilde{A}_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\,(2y_0)^{1/4-a/c^2}\,c^{2a/c^2-1/2}\sigma_-^{-a/c^2-1/4}$$
$$\exp\left\{-y_0\left(\frac{s_-\rho c-b}{c^2}+\frac{\kappa_-}{c^2\sigma_-^2}\right)-\frac{at}{c^2}(c\rho s_- - b)\right\}$$
$$\left(\frac{2\sqrt{b^2-2bc\rho s_- + c^2 s_-(1-(1-\rho^2)s_-)}}{c^2 s_-(s_- -1)\sinh\frac{t}{2}\sqrt{b^2-2bc\rho s_- + c^2 s_-(1-(1-\rho^2)s_-)}}\right)^{\frac{2a}{c^2}},$$

$$\widetilde{A}_2 = 2\sqrt{2y_0}c^{-1}\sigma_-^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$\widetilde{A}_3 = -(s_- + 1).$$

Note that the constants described above depend on t.

Remark 1. It follows from (29) and (30) that the interval $(-A_3, \widetilde{A}_3)$ belongs to the domain of the Mellin transform $MD_t^{(1)}$.

4 The Heston model with double exponential jumps

Let N be a standard Poisson process with intensity $\lambda > 0$, and consider a compound Poisson process defined by

$$J_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t}(V_i - 1), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where V_i are positive identically distributed random variables such that the distribution density g of the random variable $U_i = \log V_i$ is double exponential. This means that

$$g(u) = p\eta_1 e^{-\eta_1 u}\chi_{\{u\geq 0\}} + q\eta_2 e^{\eta_2 u}\chi_{\{u<0\}}.$$

where $\eta_1 > 1$, $\eta_2 > 0$, and p and q are positive numbers such that $p + q = 1$. The condition $\eta_1 > 1$ is necessary and sufficient for the random variable J_t to have finite expectation.

S. Kou introduced and studied a perturbation of the Black-Scholes model based on the jump process described above (see [23], see also [24]). In [20], we considered a similar perturbation of the Heston model. The stock price process and the variance process Y in the perturbed Heston model satisfy the following system of stochastic differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} d\widetilde{X}_t = \mu\widetilde{X}_{t-}dt + \sqrt{Y_t}\widetilde{X}_{t-}dW_t + \widetilde{X}_{t-}dJ_t \\ dY_t = (a - bY_t)\,dt + c\sqrt{Y_t}dZ_t. \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

It is assumed in (33) that the compound Poisson process J is independent of standard Brownian motions W and Z. The initial conditions for the processes $\widetilde{X}$ and Y will be denoted by x_0 and y_0, respectively.

It is not hard to see that

$$\widetilde{X}_t = x_0 \exp\left\{\mu t - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t Y_s ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{Y_s}dW_s + \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} U_i\right\}. \tag{34}$$

The validity of the equality in (34) follows from the Doléans-Dade formula (see, for example, [26]).

The Heston model with double exponential jumps is a mixed stochastic model. Indeed, using formula (34), we can split the process $\widetilde{X}$ into the product of the following processes:

$$X_t^{(1)} = x_0 \exp\left\{\mu t - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t Y_s ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{Y_s} dW_s\right\} \tag{35}$$

and

$$X_t^{(2)} = \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N_t} U_i\right\}. \tag{36}$$

Note that $X^{(1)} = X$, where X is the stock price process in the Heston model described by (28). Note also that for every $t \geq 0$, we have $\mathbb{E}\left[X_t^{(2)}\right] < \infty$ (see Remark 2 below).

Let us put $T_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} U_i$. The distribution μ_t of the random variable T_t is given by

$$d\mu_t(y) = e^{-\lambda t} d\delta_0(y) + \left[G_1(t,y)e^{-\eta_1 y}\chi_{\{y>0\}} + G_2(t,y)e^{\eta_2 y}\chi_{\{y<0\}}\right] dy, \tag{37}$$

where $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and δ_0 is the delta-measure at $y = 0$. The functions G_1 and G_2 in the previous formula are defined by

$$G_1(t,u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k u^k, \quad u > 0, \tag{38}$$

with

$$a_k = \frac{\eta_1^{k+1}}{k!} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \pi_n P_{n,k+1}, \tag{39}$$

and

$$G_2(t,-u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k u^k, \quad u > 0,$$

with

$$b_k = \frac{\eta_2^{k+1}}{k!} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \pi_n Q_{n,k+1}. \tag{40}$$

The numbers π_n in the previous formulas depend on t. They are defined by $\pi_0 = e^{-\lambda t}$ and $\pi_n = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^n (n!)^{-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. In addition, the numbers $P_{n,k}$ and $Q_{n,k}$ are given by

$$P_{n,k} = \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ i-k \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ i \end{array} \right) \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_1+\eta_2}\right)^{i-k} \left(\frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1+\eta_2}\right)^{n-i} p^i q^{n-i} \tag{41}$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and

$$Q_{n,k} = \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ i-k \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ i \end{array} \right) \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_1+\eta_2}\right)^{n-i} \left(\frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1+\eta_2}\right)^{i-k} p^{n-i} q^i$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. We also have $P_{n,n} = p^n$ and $Q_{n,n} = q^n$. Formula (37) can be derived using Proposition B.1 in [23] (see the derivation in [20], or in Section 10.8 of [18]).

It follows from (37) that the distribution $\mu_t^{(2)}$ of the random variable $X_t^{(2)}$ satisfies

$$d\mu_t^{(2)}(x) = e^{-\lambda t} d\delta_1(x) + H(t,x)dx, \quad x > 0. \tag{42}$$

In (42), δ_1 is the delta-measure at $x = 1$, the function H is defined by

$$H(t,x) = H_1(t,x)x^{-\eta_1 - 1}\chi_{\{x>1\}} + H_2(t,x)x^{\eta_2 - 1}\chi_{\{0<x<1\}}, \tag{43}$$

where

$$H_1(t,x) = G_1(t, \log x), \quad x > 1, \tag{44}$$

and

$$H_2(t,x) = G_2(t, \log x), \quad 0 < x < 1. \tag{45}$$

The next assertion provides useful approximations to the coefficients a_k and b_k appearing in (39) and (40).

Theorem 10. *There exist positive constants c_1 and c_2, independent of k, and such that*

$$0 < a_k - \widehat{a}_k \leq c_1 \frac{\widehat{a}_k}{k+1}, \quad k \geq 0, \tag{46}$$

and

$$0 < b_k - \widehat{b}_k \leq c_2 \frac{\widehat{b}_k}{k+1}, \quad k \geq 0, \tag{47}$$

where

$$\widehat{a}_k = \exp\left\{\frac{\eta_2 \lambda t q}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} - \lambda t\right\} \frac{(\eta_1 \lambda t p)^{k+1}}{k!(k+1)!}, \quad k \geq 0,$$

and

$$\widehat{b}_k = \exp\left\{\frac{\eta_1 \lambda t p}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} - \lambda t\right\} \frac{(\eta_2 \lambda t q)^{k+1}}{k!(k+1)!}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Proof. For $i \geq 1$ and $m \geq i+1$, put

$$\gamma_{k+m,k+i} = \begin{pmatrix} m-2 \\ i-1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k+m \\ k+i \end{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_1+\eta_2}\right)^{i-1} \left(\frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1+\eta_2}\right)^{m-i} p^{k+i} q^{m-i}.$$

It follows from (39) and (41) that for all $k \geq 1$,

$$a_k = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{k,i}, \tag{48}$$

where

$$a_{k,0} = \frac{\eta_1^{k+1}}{k!} \pi_{k+1} p^{k+1}$$

and

$$a_{k,i} = \frac{\eta_1^{k+1}}{k!} \sum_{m=i+1}^{\infty} \pi_{k+m} \gamma_{k+m,k+i} \tag{49}$$

for all $i \geq 1$. We have

$$a_{k,0} + a_{k,1} = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\eta_1 \lambda t p)^{k+1}}{k!(k+1)!} \left[1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \left(\frac{\eta_2 \lambda t q}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} \right)^{m-1} \right]$$
$$= \exp\left\{ \frac{\eta_2 \lambda t q}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} - \lambda t \right\} \frac{(\eta_1 \lambda t p)^{k+1}}{k!(k+1)!} = \widehat{a}_k. \tag{50}$$

Therefore, (48), (49), and (50) imply the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq a_k - \widehat{a}_k &= \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_{k,i} \\
&= e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\eta_1 \lambda t p)^{k+1}}{k!(k+1)!} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+i)\cdots(k+2)(i-1)!} \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} \right)^{i-1} p^{i-1} \\
&\quad \sum_{m=i+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^{m-1}(m-2)!}{(m-i-1)!(m-i)!} \left(\frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} \right)^{m-i} q^{m-i} \\
&\leq \beta_1 \frac{\widehat{a}_k}{k+1} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!(i-1)!} \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} \right)^{i-1} (\lambda t p)^{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^{j+1}(j+i-1)!}{j!(j+1)!} \left(\frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} \right)^{j+1} q^{j+1}, \quad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

where β_1 is a positive constant. For $i \geq 2$ and $j \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{(j+i-1)!}{i!j!} \leq \frac{(j+i)^i}{i!} \leq \beta_2 e^i \left(1 + \frac{j}{i} \right)^i \leq \beta_2 e^{i+j},$$

where β_2 is a positive constant. In the proof of the previous estimates, we used Striling's formula. Now, it is not hard to see that the last double series in (51) converges, and it follows from (51) that the estimate in (46) is valid. The proof of the estimate in (47) is similar.

This completes the proof of Theorem 10.

We will next further simplify formulas (46) and (47). Set

$$C_1 = \frac{\eta_1 \lambda t p}{2\pi} \exp\left\{ \frac{\eta_2 \lambda t q}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} - \lambda t \right\}, \quad B_1 = \eta_1 \lambda t p,$$

$$C_2 = \frac{\eta_2 \lambda t q}{2\pi} \exp\left\{ \frac{\eta_1 \lambda t p}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} - \lambda t \right\}, \quad B_2 = \eta_2 \lambda t q,$$

and consider the following sequences:

$$d_0 = C_1, \quad d_k = C_1 \frac{B_1^k e^{2k}}{k^{2k+2}}, \quad k \geq 1, \tag{52}$$

and

$$l_0 = C_2, \quad l_k = C_2 \frac{B_2^k e^{2k}}{k^{2k+2}}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Corollary 2. *The following formula holds:*

$$|a_k - d_k| \le \frac{\alpha_1}{k+1} d_k, \quad k \ge 0, \tag{53}$$

and

$$|b_k - l_k| \le \frac{\alpha_2}{k+1} l_k, \quad k \ge 0, \tag{54}$$

where α_1 and α_2 are some positive constants.

Proof. We will need Stirling's formula in the asymptotic form:

$$n! = \sqrt{2\pi} n^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \tag{55}$$

as $n \to \infty$. It is not hard to see, using (46) and (55) that

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= \exp\left\{\frac{\eta_2 \lambda t q}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} - \lambda t\right\} \frac{(\eta_1 \lambda t p)^{k+1}}{k! k! k \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{\eta_2 \lambda t q}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} - \lambda t\right\} \frac{(\eta_1 \lambda t p)^{k+1}}{k! k! k} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left\{\frac{\eta_2 \lambda t q}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} - \lambda t\right\} \frac{(\eta_1 \lambda t p)^{k+1} e^{2k}}{k^{2k+2}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

as $k \to \infty$. This establishes (53). The proof of (54) is similar.

4.1 Properties of the functions H_1 and H_2

In the present subsection, we study the asymptotic behavior of the functions H_1 and H_2 defined in (44) and (45). It will be shown first that these functions are of slow variation with remainder.

Lemma 1. *For every $t > 0$, the functions $x \mapsto H_1(t, x)$ and $x \mapsto H_2(t, x^{-1})$ belong to the Zygmund class $\mathcal{Z}$.*

Proof. Let us fix $t > 0$. Since the function $x \mapsto H_1(t, x)$ is increasing on $x > 1$, the function $\phi_\alpha(t, x) = x^\alpha H_1(t, x)$, where $\alpha > 0$, is also increasing. It remains to prove that the function $\psi_\alpha(t, x) = x^{-\alpha} H_1(t, x)$ is ultimately decreasing. We have

$$\psi'_\alpha(t, x) = -\alpha x^{-\alpha-1} G_1(t, \log x) + x^{-\alpha-1} G'_1(t, \log x).$$

Therefore, the condition $\psi'_\alpha(t, x) \le 0$ is equivalent to the condition

$$\frac{G'_1(t, \log x)}{G_1(t, \log x)} \le \alpha$$

for all $x > x_\alpha$, which in its turn is equivalent to the condition

$$\frac{G'_1(t, \log x)}{G_1(t, \log x)} \to 0 \tag{56}$$

as $x \to \infty$. Now it is clear that it suffices to prove (56).

Using the definition of the function G_1, we obtain

$$\frac{G_1'(t,\log x)}{G_1(t,\log x)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k k(\log x)^{k-1}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\log x)^k} \tag{57}$$

where the coefficients a_k are defined by (39). It is not hard to see using (46) that for all $k \geq 2$,

$$\frac{ka_k}{a_{k-1}} \leq \frac{c}{k+1} \tag{58}$$

with some $c > 0$. Hence for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer k_ε such that $ka_k \leq \varepsilon a_{k-1}$ for all $k > k_\varepsilon$. It follows from (57) that

$$\frac{G_1'(t,\log x)}{G_1(t,\log x)} \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{k_\varepsilon} a_k k(\log x)^{k-1}}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k (\log x)^k} + \varepsilon. \tag{59}$$

It is clear that for fixed ε the first term on the right-hand side of (59) tends to 0 as $x \to \infty$. Now, it is not hard to see that condition (56) holds.

This completes the proof of Lemma 1 for the function $x \mapsto H_1(t,x)$. The proof for the function $x \mapsto H_2(t, \frac{1}{x})$ is similar.

Remark 2. It follows from (42), the fact that $\mathcal{Z} \subset R_0$, and Lemma 1 that the process $t \mapsto X_t^{(2)}$ is an integrable process.

Lemma 2. *For every $t > 0$, the functions $x \mapsto H_1(t,x)$ and $x \mapsto H_2(t,x^{-1})$ belong to the class R_0^g, where the function g is given by $g(x) = (\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Proof. The function $x \mapsto H_1(t,x)$ is an increasing differentiable function from the Zygmund class. Therefore, it suffices to prove that there exists $\widetilde{c} > 0$ such that

$$\frac{xH_1'(t,x)}{H_1(t,x)} \leq \widetilde{c}(\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{60}$$

for all $x > x_0$ (see Corollary 1 and (10)). It is easy to see that the estimate in (60) is equivalent to the following:

$$\frac{G_1'(t,\log x)}{G_1(t,\log x)} = O\left((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{61}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{G_1'(t,\log x)}{G_1(t,\log x)} &= (\log x)^{-1} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k k(\log x)^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\log x)^k} \\
&= (\log x)^{-1} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{[\sqrt{\log x}]} a_k k(\log x)^k + \sum_{k=[\sqrt{\log x}]+1}^{\infty} a_k k(\log x)^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\log x)^k} \\
&\leq (\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (\log x)^{-1} \frac{\sum_{k=[\sqrt{\log x}]+1}^{\infty} a_k k(\log x)^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\log x)^k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Next, using (58) in (62), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{G_1'(t,\log x)}{G_1(t,\log x)} &\le (\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (\log x)^{-1}\frac{\sum_{k=[\sqrt{\log x}]+1}^{\infty}\frac{ca_{k-1}}{k+1}(\log x)^k}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k(\log x)^k}\\ &\le (\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + c(\log x)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\frac{\sum_{k=[\sqrt{\log x}]+1}^{\infty}a_{k-1}(\log x)^k}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k(\log x)^k}\\ &= O\left((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

as $x \to \infty$. This establishes estimate (61).

The proof of Lemma 2 in the case of the function $x \mapsto H_1(t,x)$ is thus completed. For the function $x \mapsto H_2\left(t,\frac{1}{x}\right)$, the proof is similar.

Recall that $G_1(t,\cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k u^k$ (see (38)), where the coefficients a_k are given by (39). Define two auxiliary functions $\widetilde{G}_1(t,\cdot)$ and $\widehat{G}_1(t,\cdot)$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{G}_1(t,u) = \sum_{k=0} d_k u^k \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{G}_1(t,u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{d_k}{k+1}u^k,$$

where $u \ge 0$ and the sequence d is given by (52). Then (53) implies that

$$|G_1(t,u) - \widetilde{G}_1(t,u)| \le \alpha_1 \widehat{G}_1(t,u).$$

The functions $\widetilde{G}_1$ and $\widehat{G}_1$ are defined as sums of certain power series. Our next goal is to compare these functions with some standard functions. Analyzing the structure of the coefficients d_k, we guess that the following family of functions may be useful:

$$\lambda_{s,r}(u) = s\cosh(r\sqrt{u}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\widetilde{d}_k u^k, \quad u \ge 0, \quad r > 0, \quad s > 0, \tag{63}$$

where

$$\widetilde{d}_k = s\frac{r^{2k}}{(2k)!}.$$

It is clear that $\widetilde{d}_0 = s$. Moreover, using Stirling's formula, we see that

$$\widetilde{d}_k = s\frac{r^{2k}e^{2k}}{2\sqrt{\pi}2^{2k}k^{2k+\frac{1}{2}}}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right)$$

as $k \to \infty$. Next, comparing the coefficients d_k and $\widetilde{d}_k$, we see that if we set

$$s = 2\sqrt{\pi}C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad r = 2\sqrt{B_1}, \tag{64}$$

then

$$|d_k - (k+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\widetilde{d}_k| \le \delta_1(k+1)^{-\frac{5}{2}}\widetilde{d}_k \tag{65}$$

for some $\delta_1 > 0$ and all $k \ge 0$. Finally, it follows from (53) and (65) that the coefficients a_k and $\widetilde{d}_k$ satisfy the following condition:

$$|a_k - (k+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\widetilde{d}_k| \le \delta_2(k+1)^{-\frac{5}{2}}\widetilde{d}_k \tag{66}$$

for some $\delta_2 > 0$ and all $k \ge 0$.

4.2 The Riemann-Liouville integrals

In this subsection, we consider only fractional integrals of functions given by everywhere convergent power series with positive coefficients. Let $f(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n u^n$ be a function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $c_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ and the function $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ is an entire function on $\mathbb{C}$. For $\alpha < 0$, the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral $D^\alpha f$ is defined as follows:

$$D^\alpha f(u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_0^u f(y)(u-y)^{-\alpha-1} dy. \tag{67}$$

Then the following formula is valid:

$$D^\alpha f(u) = u^{-\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n,\alpha} c_n u^n, \tag{68}$$

where

$$c_{n,\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\alpha+1)}$$

(see the definition of the Riemann-Liouville integral in [25], Section 5, and formula (3.2) in [25], Section 3). We will next charactize the asymptotic behavior of the sequence $c_{n,\alpha}$. Using the asymptotic formula for the Gamma function, that is, the formula

$$\Gamma(u) = \sqrt{2\pi} u^{u-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-u} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)\right)$$

as $u \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n,\alpha} &= \frac{e^{|\alpha|}(n+1)^{n+|\alpha|+\frac{1}{2}}}{(n+|\alpha|+1)^{n+|\alpha|+\frac{1}{2}}(n+1)^{|\alpha|}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \\ &= e^{|\alpha|} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+|\alpha|+1}\right)^{n+|\alpha|+1} \frac{(n+|\alpha|+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\alpha|}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \\ &= e^{|\alpha|} \left(1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{n+|\alpha|+1}\right)^{n+|\alpha|+1} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\alpha|}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

It is not hard to prove that for every $c > 0$,

$$\left(1 - \frac{c}{x}\right)^x = e^{-c} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right)$$

as $x \to \infty$. Therefore,

$$c_{n,\alpha} = \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\alpha|}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right)$$

as $n \to \infty$, and hence

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\alpha|}} = c_{n,\alpha} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) \tag{69}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Since

$$\frac{c_{n,\alpha}}{n+1} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{(n+1)\Gamma(n+|\alpha|+1)} \le c\frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{(n+|\alpha|+1)\Gamma(n+|\alpha|+1)} = c\frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+|\alpha|+2)} = c \cdot c_{n,\alpha-1},$$

formula (69) implies that there exists a constant $\delta_3 > 0$ for which

$$\left|\frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\alpha|}} - c_{n,\alpha}\right| \le \delta_3 c_{n,\alpha-1} \tag{70}$$

for all $n \ge 0$.

Our next goal is to combine various estimates for the coefficients obtained above.

Lemma 3. *There exists a constant $\delta_4 > 0$ such that*

$$\left|a_k - c_{k,-\frac{3}{2}}\widetilde{d}_k\right| \le \delta_4 c_{k,-\frac{5}{2}}\widetilde{d}_k$$

for all $k \ge 0$.

Proof. It follows from (66), (69), and (70) that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|a_k - c_{k,-\frac{3}{2}}\widetilde{d}_k\right| &\le \left|a_k - (k+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\widetilde{d}_k\right| + \left|c_{k,-\frac{3}{2}} - (k+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right|\widetilde{d}_k \\ &\le \delta_2(k+1)^{-\frac{5}{2}}\widetilde{d}_k + \delta_3 c_{k,-\frac{5}{2}}\widetilde{d}_k \le \delta_4 c_{k,-\frac{5}{2}}\widetilde{d}_k.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

The following assertion can be easily derived from (38), (63), and (68).

Theorem 11. *There exists a positive constant c such that*

$$\left|G_1(t,u) - u^{-\frac{3}{2}}D^{-\frac{3}{2}}\lambda_{s,r}(u)\right| \le cu^{-\frac{5}{2}}D^{-\frac{5}{2}}\lambda_{s,r}(u) \tag{71}$$

for all $u > 0$. In (71), the values of the parameters r and s are chosen according to (64).

It follows from Theorem 11 that in order to understand the asymptotic behavior of the function $G_1(t,u)$ as $u \to \infty$, we have to study how the fractional integrals appearing in (71) behave for large values of u. Using (63) and (67), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}u^{-\frac{3}{2}}D^{-\frac{3}{2}}\lambda_{s,r}(u) &= \frac{s}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\int_0^1 \cosh(r\sqrt{yu})(1-y)^{\frac{1}{2}}dy \\ &= \frac{s}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\int_0^1 e^{r\sqrt{u}z}z(1-z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}dz + \frac{s}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\int_0^1 e^{-r\sqrt{u}z}z(1-z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}dz\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}u^{-\frac{5}{2}}D^{-\frac{5}{2}}\lambda_{s,r}(u) &= \frac{s}{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)}\int_0^1 \cosh(r\sqrt{yu})(1-y)^{\frac{3}{2}}dy \\ &= \frac{s}{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)}\int_0^1 e^{r\sqrt{u}z}z(1-z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}dz + \frac{s}{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)}\int_0^1 e^{-r\sqrt{u}z}z(1-z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}dz.\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

We have

$$\int_0^1 e^{-r\sqrt{u}z} z(1-z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dz = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 = O\left(u^{-1}\right). \tag{74}$$

Indeed, the third integral in (74) is $O\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}r\sqrt{u}}\right)$, while the second integral can be estimated, using the integration by parts twice. Similarly,

$$\int_0^1 e^{-r\sqrt{u}z} z(1-z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} dz = O\left(u^{-1}\right) \tag{75}$$

as $u \to \infty$. In addition,

$$\int_0^1 e^{r\sqrt{u}z} z(1-z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dz = e^{r\sqrt{u}} \int_0^1 e^{r\sqrt{u}(z-1)} z(1-z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dz = e^{r\sqrt{u}} \int_0^1 e^{-r\sqrt{u}y} (1-y)(2-y)^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}} dy.$$

For small values of y, we have $(1-y)(2-y)^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{2} y^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_1 y^{\frac{3}{2}} + \cdots$. Using Watson's lemma (see [10], p. 103), we obtain

$$\int_0^1 e^{r\sqrt{u}z} z(1-z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dz = e^{r\sqrt{u}} \left[\sqrt{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) r^{-\frac{3}{2}} u^{-\frac{3}{4}} + O\left(u^{-\frac{5}{4}}\right)\right] \tag{76}$$

as $y \to \infty$. Similarly,

$$\int_0^1 e^{r\sqrt{u}z} z(1-z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} dz = e^{r\sqrt{u}} \left[2^{\frac{3}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) r^{-\frac{5}{2}} u^{-\frac{5}{4}} + O\left(u^{-\frac{7}{4}}\right)\right] \tag{77}$$

as $y \to \infty$.

Now we are ready to formulate and prove one of the main results of the present paper.

Theorem 12. *Let $H_1(t,\cdot)$ and $H_2(t,\cdot)$ be the functions defined by (44) and (45), respectively. Then the following asymptotic formulas hold:*

$$H_1(t,x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} (\eta_1 \lambda t p)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left\{\frac{\eta_2 \lambda t q}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} - \lambda t\right\} (\log x)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \\ \exp\{2\sqrt{\eta_1 \lambda t p}\sqrt{\log x}\} \left(1 + O\left((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \tag{78}$$

as $x \to \infty$, and

$$H_2(t,x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} (\eta_2 \lambda t q)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left\{\frac{\eta_1 \lambda t p}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} - \lambda t\right\} \left(\log \frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \\ \exp\left\{2\sqrt{\eta_2 \lambda t q}\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{x}}\right\} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \tag{79}$$

as $x \to 0$.

Proof. Formula (78) follows from (71) and formulas (72) - (77). Here we take into account that the values of the parameters r and s are given by (64). The proof of formula (79) uses the same ideas, and we leave it as an exercise for the interested reader.

5 Asymptotic behavior of stock price densities in the Heston model with double exponential jumps

In this section, we study the asymptotic behavior of the marginal densities in the perturbed Heston model. Our first goal is to characterize the asymptotics of the density of the absolutely continuous part H of the distribution $\mu_t^{(2)}$ of the random variable $X_t^{(2)}$. Recall that

$$d\mu_t^{(2)}(x) = e^{-\lambda t} d\delta_1(x) + H(t,x)dx, \quad x > 0,$$

where

$$H(t,x) = H_1(t,x)x^{-\eta_1 - 1}\chi_{\{x>1\}} + H_2(t,x)x^{\eta_2 - 1}\chi_{\{0<x<1\}}$$

(see (42)). It is clear from Theorem 12 that the following assertion holds.

Corollary 3. *For every $t > 0$,*

$$H(t,x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}(\eta_1\lambda t p)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left\{\frac{\eta_2\lambda t q}{\eta_1+\eta_2} - \lambda t\right\}(\log x)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \exp\{2\sqrt{\eta_1\lambda t p}\sqrt{\log x}\}x^{-\eta_1-1}\left(1 + O\left((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \tag{80}$$

as $x \to \infty$, and

$$H(t,x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}(\eta_2\lambda t q)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left\{\frac{\eta_1\lambda t p}{\eta_1+\eta_2} - \lambda t\right\}\left(\log\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \exp\left\{2\sqrt{\eta_2\lambda t q}\sqrt{\log\frac{1}{x}}\right\}x^{\eta_2-1}\left(1 + O\left(\left(\log\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \tag{81}$$

as $x \to 0$.

We will next consider the case of the perturbed Heston model where the jump part dominates.

Theorem 13. *Fix $t > 0$, and suppose $1 + \eta_1 < A_3$. Then the following asymptotic formula holds for the stock price density D_t in the Heston model with double exponential jumps:*

$$D_t(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} m_{\eta_1}(D_t^{(1)})(\eta_1\lambda t p)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left\{\frac{\eta_2\lambda t q}{\eta_1+\eta_2} - \lambda t\right\}(\log x)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \exp\{2\sqrt{\eta_1\lambda t p}\sqrt{\log x}\}x^{-\eta_1-1}\left(1 + O\left((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \tag{82}$$

as $x \to \infty$. Here $D_t^{(1)}$ is the density of the random variable $X_t^{(1)}$ defined by (35).

Theorem 14. *Fix $t > 0$, and suppose $\widetilde{A}_3 > \eta_2 - 1$. Then the following asymptotic formula holds for the stock price density D_t in the Heston model with double exponential jumps:*

$$D_t(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} m_{-\eta_2}(D_t^{(1)})(\eta_2\lambda t q)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left\{\frac{\eta_1\lambda t p}{\eta_1+\eta_2} - \lambda t\right\}\left(\log\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \exp\left\{2\sqrt{\eta_2\lambda t q}\sqrt{\log\frac{1}{x}}\right\}x^{\eta_2-1}\left(1 + O\left(\left(\log\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \tag{83}$$

as $x \to 0$.

Remark 3. The symbols $m_{\eta_1}(D_t^{(1)})$ and $m_{-\eta_2}(D_t^{(1)})$ in formulas (82) and (83) stand for the moments of the marginal density $D_t^{(1)}$ (see (6)). To get explicit formulas for the moments of the Heston density, we may use the equality

$$m_s(D_t^{(1)}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\{s \log X_t^{(1)}\}\right] \tag{84}$$

and a known explicit formula for the moment generating function of the log-price in the Heston model (see, e.g., formula (3) in [12]).

Remark 4. Note that formula (82) becomes meaningless if $1 + \eta_1 = A_3$. Indeed, if the previous equality holds, then $m_{\eta_1}(D_t^{(1)}) = \infty$ (use (29)). Similarly, if $\widetilde{A}_3 = \eta_2 - 1$, then $m_{-\eta_2}(D_t^{(1)}) = \infty$ (use (30)), and formula (83) does not hold.

Proof of Theorem 13. Recall that we denoted by $D_t^{(1)}$ the stock price density in the Heston model. Note that the distribution $\mu_t^{(2)}$ of the random variable $\exp\{T_t\}$ has a singular component at one (see (42)). However, we can still use a formula similar to formula (1) to estimate D_t. We have

$$D_t(x) = e^{-\lambda t} D_t^{(1)}(x) + D_t^{(1)} \overset{M}{\star} H(t,\cdot)(x). \tag{85}$$

Our next goal is to apply Theorem 8 to characterize the asymptotic behavior of the last term in (85). We put $U(x) = D_t^{(1)}(x)$, $\rho = -\eta_1 - 1$, $l(x) = H_1(t,x)$, $f(x) = H(t,x)$, $\sigma = -A_3$, $\tau = \widetilde{A}_3$, and $h(x) = 0$. Then, $\sigma < \rho < \tau$. Indeed, the condition $1 + \eta_1 < A_3$ is equivalent to $\sigma < \rho$. In addition, since $\widetilde{A}_3 > -1$ (use the integrability of the function $D_t^{(1)}$ and (30)), we have $\rho < \tau$. Now, taking into account Remark 1 and Lemma 2, we see that the conditions in the formulation of Theorem 8 hold. It follows that

$$D_t^{(1)} \overset{M}{\star} H(t,\cdot)(x) = M D_t^{(1)}(-\eta_1 - 1) x^{-\eta_1 - 1} H_1(t,x) \left(1 + O\left((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \tag{86}$$

as $x \to \infty$. Finally, it is not hard to see that (29), (80), (85), (86), and the condition $1 + \eta_1 < A_3$ imply formula (82).

This completes the proof of Theorem 13.

The proof of Theorem 14 is similar to that of Theorem 13. It is based on Theorem 9, (30), (43), Lemma 2, (81), and (85). We leave filling in the details to the interested reader.

We will next explain how the density D_t behaves in the case where the Heston part dominates.

Theorem 15. *Fix $t > 0$, and suppose $1 + \eta_1 > A_3$. Then the following asymptotic formula holds for the stock price density D_t in the Heston model with double exponential jumps:*

$$\begin{aligned} D_t(x) = &\left[e^{-\lambda t} + m_{A_3 - 1}(H(t,\cdot))\right] B_1 x^{-A_3} \exp\{A_2 \sqrt{\log x}\} (\log x)^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{a}{c^2}} \\ &\left(1 + O\left((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

Theorem 16. *Fix $t > 0$, and suppose $\widetilde{A}_3 < \eta_2 - 1$. Then the following asymptotic formula holds for the stock price density D_t in the Heston model with double exponential jumps:*

$$\begin{aligned} D_t(x) = &\left[e^{-\lambda t} + m_{-\widetilde{A}_3 - 1}(H(t,\cdot))\right] \widetilde{B}_1 x^{\widetilde{A}_3} \exp\left\{\widetilde{A}_2 \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{x}}\right\} \left(\log \frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{a}{c^2}} \\ &\left(1 + O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

as $x \to 0$.

Remark 5. Recall that the symbols $m_{A_3-1}(\mu_t^{(2)})$ and $m_{-\widetilde{A}_3-1}(\mu_t^{(2)})$ in formulas (87) and (88) stand for the moments of the marginal distribution $\mu_t^{(2)}$ (see (6)). To compute the moments appearing in formulas (87) and (88), we can use a formula similar to formula (84) and an explicit formula for the moment generating function of the log-price in the exponential jump model with jump amplitudes distributed according to the asymmetric double exponential law (see, e.g., formula (1) with $b = 0$ and $\sigma = 0$ in [16]).

Remark 6. In the extreme case where $1 + \eta_1 = A_3$, formula (87) does not hold, since under the previous condition, we have $m_{-\widetilde{A}_3-1}(\mu_t^{(2)}) = \infty$ (use (42), (43), and (80)). Similarly, formula (88) is not valid if $\widetilde{A}_3 = \eta_2 - 1$, since in this case we have $m_{-\widetilde{A}_3-1}(\mu_t^{(2)}) = \infty$ (use (42), (43), and (81)).

Theorems 15 and 16 can be derived from formulas (31), (32), and (85), using Theorems 8 and 9.

6 Smile asymptotics in the Heston model with double exponential jumps

In order to create a risk-neutral environment, we assume that the following no-arbitrage condition holds for the parameters in the perturbed Heston model:

$$\mu = \lambda \left(\frac{q}{\eta_2 + 1} - \frac{p}{\eta_1 - 1} \right). \tag{89}$$

Here we take into account that $r = 0$. Then the process $\widetilde{X}$ defined by (34) is a martingale (see [18], Section 10.8). Note that the proof uses the mean-correcting argument (see, e.g., Lemma 10.40 in [18], or [27], pp. 79-80). It will be assumed in the present section that condition (89) holds.

The call and put pricing functions C and P in the Heston model with double exponential jumps are defined by $C(T,K) = \mathbb{E}\left[(\widetilde{X}_T - K)^+\right]$ and $P(T,K) = \mathbb{E}\left[(K - \widetilde{X}_T)^+\right]$, respectively. In the previous formulas, T is the maturity and K is the strike price. The implied volatility $I(T,K)$, $T > 0$, $K > 0$, in the Heston model with double exponential jumps is defined as follows. Given T and K, the implied volatility $I(T,K)$ is equal to the value of the volatility $\sigma = \sigma(T,K)$ in the Black-Scholes model such that $C(T,K) = C_{BS}(T,K,\sigma)$. Here the symbol C_{BS} stands for the call pricing function in the Black-Scholes model. In the sequel, the maturity T will be fixed, and we will consider the functions C, P, and I as functions of only the strike price K.

The asymptotic behavior of the implied volatility I in the Heston model with double exponential jumps will be characterized utilizing the asymptotic formulas for the stock price densities provided in Theorems 13-16. We will start with the case of large strikes. Analyzing the formulas in Theorems 13 and 15, we see that it is important to understand how the implied volatility behaves if the stock price density D_T satisfies the condition

$$D_T(x) = r_1 x^{-r_3} \exp\{r_2 \sqrt{\log x}\} (\log x)^{r_4} \left(1 + O\left((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \tag{90}$$

as $x \to \infty$, where $r_1 > 0$, $r_2 \geq 0$, $r_3 > 2$, and $r_4 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 17. *Suppose condition (90) holds. Then the following asymptotic formula is valid for the implied volatility:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(K) &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{T}}(\sqrt{r_3-1}-\sqrt{r_3-2})\sqrt{\log\frac{K}{x_0}} + \frac{r_2}{\sqrt{2T}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_3-2}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_3-1}}\right) \\
&+ \frac{2r_4+1}{2\sqrt{2T}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_3-2}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_3-1}}\right)\frac{\log\log\frac{K}{x_0}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{K}{x_0}}} \\
&+ \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2T}}\log\frac{\sqrt{r_3-1}\sqrt{r_3-2}(\sqrt{r_3-1}-\sqrt{r_3-2})}{2\sqrt{\pi}r_1} + \frac{r_2^2}{4\sqrt{2T}}\left(\frac{1}{(r_3-2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}-\frac{1}{(r_3-1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)\right]\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log\frac{K}{x_0}}} \\
&+ \frac{r_2(2r_4+1)}{4\sqrt{2T}}\left(\frac{1}{(r_3-2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}-\frac{1}{(r_3-1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)\frac{\log\log\frac{K}{x_0}}{\log\frac{K}{x_0}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log\frac{K}{x_0}}\right) \qquad (91)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $K \to \infty$.

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, we assume $x_0 = 1$. The proof in the general case is similar. It follows from (90), Corollary 7.13 in [18], and Theorem 8.10 in [18] that as $K \to \infty$,

$$
C(K) = \frac{r_1}{(r_3-1)(r_3-2)}(\log K)^{r_4}\exp\{r_2\sqrt{\log K}\}K^{2-r_3}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log K}}\right)\right). \qquad (92)
$$

Therefore, as $K \to \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log\frac{1}{C(K)} &= \log\frac{(r_3-1)(r_3-2)}{r_1} - r_4\log\log K - r_2\sqrt{\log K} + (r_3-2)\log K \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log K}}\right). \qquad (93)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the mean value theorem and (93) imply that

$$
\log\log\frac{1}{C(K)} = \log\log K + \log(A_3-2) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log K}}\right)
$$

as $K \to \infty$. Next, using Theorem 9.16 in [18] with $\lambda = r_3 - 2$ and $\Lambda(K) = \sqrt{\log K}$, we get

$$
I(K) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{T}}\left[\sqrt{(r_3-1)\log K + L(K)} - \sqrt{(r_3-2)\log K + L(K)}\right] + O\left(\frac{1}{\log K}\right) \qquad (94)
$$

as $K \to \infty$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(K) &= -r_2\sqrt{\log K} - \left(r_4+\frac{1}{2}\right)\log\log K + \log\frac{(r_3-1)(r_3-2)}{r_1} \\
&\quad - \log(r_3-2) + \log\frac{\sqrt{r_3-1}-\sqrt{r_3-2}}{2\sqrt{\pi}r_3-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (94) that

$$I(K) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \left[\sqrt{r_3 - 1} \sqrt{\log K} \sqrt{1 + \frac{L(K)}{(r_3 - 1)\log K}} - \sqrt{r_3 - 2} \sqrt{\log K} \sqrt{1 + \frac{L(K)}{(r_3 - 2)\log K}} \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{\log K} \right) \tag{95}$$

as $K \to \infty$. Now, using the formula $\sqrt{1+h} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}h - \frac{1}{8}h^2 + O\left(h^3\right)$, $h \to 0$, in (95), and making simplifications, we obtain formula (91) with $x_0 = 1$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 17.

The next theorem characterizes the asymptotic behavior of the implied volatility at large strikes in the Heston model with double exponential jumps.

Theorem 18. *Let $T > 0$, and suppose $1 + \eta_1 < A_3$. Then formula (91) holds with*

$$r_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} m_{\eta_1}(D_T^{(1)}) (\eta_1 \lambda T p)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left\{ \frac{\eta_2 \lambda T q}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} - \lambda T \right\},$$

$$r_2 = 2\sqrt{\eta_1 \lambda T p}, \quad r_3 = \eta_1 + 1, \quad \textit{and} \quad r_4 = -\frac{3}{4}.$$

On the other hand, if $1 + \eta_1 > A_3$, then formula (91) holds with

$$r_1 = \left[e^{-\lambda T} + m_{A_3 - 1}(H(T, \cdot)) \right] B_1,$$

$$r_2 = A_2, \quad r_3 = A_3, \quad \textit{and} \quad r_4 = -\frac{3}{4} + \frac{a}{c^2}.$$

Proof. Theorem 18 follows from Theorems 13, 15, and 17.

Remark 7. In the paper [15] of K. Gao and R. Lee, an asymptotic formula with four terms and an error estimate of order

$$O\left((\log K)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right), \quad K \to \infty, \tag{96}$$

was found for the implied volatility in the negatively correlated Heston model (see [15], Corollary 8.1). Using (29) and Theorem 17, we can obtain a sharper asymptotic formula with five terms and an error estimate of order $O\left((\log K)^{-1}\right)$ as $K \to \infty$. The fifth term in this formula is of the form $c\frac{\log\log K}{\log K}$. The previous expression tends to zero faster than the expression in (96). The reason why the formula obtained in [15] contains a weaker error estimate is the following. In the proof of their result, Gao and Lee used formula (4.2) in [14], which is an asymptotic formula for the call pricing function in the Heston model with a relative error estimate $O\left((\log K)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right)$. However, formula (4.2) in [14] contains a typo. More precisely, the power $-\frac{1}{4}$ in the error estimate in that formula can be replaced by the power $-\frac{1}{2}$. Indeed, it suffices to integrate the expressions in formula (4.1) in [14] twice. Formula (4.1) is an asymptotic formula for the stock price density in the negatively correlated Heston model, containing a correct relative error estimate $O\left((\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)$. Note that in the presentation of the results from [14] in the book [18], the asymptotic formula for the call pricing function in the negatively correlated Heston model contains a correct error term (see formula (8.28) in [18]).

Remark 8. The asymptotic behavior of the implied volatility at large strikes in Kou's model was studied in [29] and [16]. Since Kou's model is the Black-Scholes model with double exponential jumps, the jump part always dominates. Indeed, the decay of the stock price density in the Black-Scholes model is log-normal, while the density of the exponential Lévy part of Kou's model decays as a regularly varying function. The authors of [16] obtain an asymptotic formula for the implied volatility with four terms an an error estimate of order $O\left((\log K)^{-\frac{3}{4}}\right)$ as in [15]. It is not hard to obtain a similar expansion with five terms and an error estimate of order $O\left((\log K)^{-1}\right)$ as $K \to \infty$, using Theorems 8, 12, and 17 established in the present paper.

Our next goal is to characterize the asymptotic behavior of the implied volatility at small strikes. Here we borrow various ideas used in Section 9.7 of [18]. For the sake of shortness, we again assume that $x_0 = 1$. Set $G(K) = KP\left(K^{-1}\right)$. Then G is a call pricing function. The corresponding marginal densities are as follows:

$$\widetilde{D}_T(x) = x^{-3} D_T\left(x^{-1}\right) \tag{97}$$

(see Remark 9.20 in [18]). Now, using Theorems 14 and 16, formula (97), and the fact that $I(K) = I_G\left(K^{-1}\right)$ (see Lemma 9.23 in [18]), we obtain the following assertion.

Theorem 19. *Let $T > 0$, and suppose $\widetilde{A}_3 > \eta_2 - 1$. Then the following formula holds for the implied volatility in the Heston model with double exponential jumps:*

$$\begin{aligned} I(K) = {} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{T}}(\sqrt{s_3+1} - \sqrt{s_3})\sqrt{\log\frac{x_0}{K}} + \frac{s_2}{\sqrt{2T}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s_3}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{s_3+1}}\right) \\ & + \frac{2s_4+1}{2\sqrt{2T}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s_3}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{s_3+1}}\right)\frac{\log\log\frac{x_0}{K}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{x_0}{K}}} \\ & + \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2T}}\log\frac{\sqrt{s_3+1}\sqrt{s_3}(\sqrt{s_3+1}-\sqrt{s_3})}{2\sqrt{\pi}s_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{4\sqrt{2T}}\left(\frac{1}{s_3^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{1}{(s_3+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)\right]\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log\frac{x_0}{K}}} \\ & + \frac{s_2(2s_4+1)}{4\sqrt{2T}}\left(\frac{1}{s_3^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{1}{(s_3+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)\frac{\log\log\frac{x_0}{K}}{\log\frac{x_0}{K}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log\frac{x_0}{K}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

as $K \to 0$. In (98),

$$s_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} m_{-\eta_2}\left(D_T^{(1)}\right)(\eta_2\lambda T q)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left\{\frac{\eta_1\lambda T p}{\eta_1+\eta_2} - \lambda T\right\},$$

$$s_2 = 2\sqrt{\eta_2\lambda T q}, \quad s_3 = \eta_2, \quad \textit{and} \quad s_4 = -\frac{3}{4}.$$

On the other hand, if $\widetilde{A}_3 < \eta_2 - 1$, then (98) holds with

$$s_1 = \left[e^{-\lambda T} + m_{A_3-1}(H(T,\cdot))\right]\widetilde{B}_1,$$

$$s_2 = \widetilde{A}_2, \quad s_3 = \widetilde{A}_3 + 1, \quad \textit{and} \quad s_4 = -\frac{3}{4} + \frac{a}{c^2}.$$

7 More applications

The example discussed in this section is a stochastic stock price model that is the mixture of the Heston model with a special exponential Lévy model. The log-price process in the perturbing model is the Lévy process with normal inverse Gaussian marginals (the NIG process). We will characterize the asymptotic behavior of the implied volatility at large strikes in the perturbed Heston model mentioned above. The behavior at the small strikes can be characterized similarly.

The normal inverse Gaussian distribution and the NIG process were introduced by O. Barndorff-Nielsen in [6] and [7], respectively (see also [8]). For the sake of simplicity, we will consider only symmetric centered NIG-processes. The general case can be dealt with similarly. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, let $W_t^{(\alpha)} = W_t + \alpha t$, $t \geq 0$, be Brownian motion with drift, and let A be the inverse Gaussian process given by $A_t = \inf\left\{s > 0 : W_s^{(\alpha)} = \delta t\right\}$. Consider also an independent standard Brownian motion: $\widetilde{W}_t$, $t \geq 0$. Then the NIG-process is defined by $Y_t = \widetilde{W}_{A_t}$, $t \geq 0$. The parameter α controls the tail heaviness of marginal distributions, while δ is the scale parameter.

Let us consider a mixed model $X_t = X_t^{(1)} X_t^{(2)}$, $t \geq 0$, where $X^{(1)}$ is the price process in the Heston model defined in (28), while $X^{(2)} = \exp\{Y_t\}$. As before, we denote by $D_t^{(k)}$ the distribution density of the random variable $X_t^{(k)}$, $k = 1, 2$, and by $\widetilde{D}_t^{(2)}$ the density of Y_t.

There exists a closed-form expression for the density $D_t^{(2)}$. The modified Bessel function K_1 of the third kind will be needed in the sequel. This function is defined by

$$K_1(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \exp\left\{-\frac{z}{2}(u + \frac{1}{u})\right\} du.$$

Denote by $(\gamma, 0, \nu)$ the Lévy triplet associated with the process Y. It is known that

$$\gamma = \frac{2\alpha\delta}{\pi} \int_0^1 K_1(\alpha x) dx$$

and

$$\nu(dy) = \frac{\alpha\delta}{\pi} \frac{K_1(\alpha|y|)}{|y|} dy.$$

The following formula holds for the density $\widetilde{D}_t^{(2)}$:

$$\widetilde{D}_t^{(2)}(y) = k(t) \frac{K_1(\alpha\sqrt{y^2 + \delta^2 t^2})}{\sqrt{y^2 + \delta^2 t^2}},$$

where

$$k(t) = \frac{\alpha\delta t e^{\alpha\delta t}}{\pi}.$$

Therefore,

$$D_t^{(2)}(x) = \frac{k(t)}{x} \frac{K_1(\alpha\sqrt{(\log x)^2 + \delta^2 t^2})}{\sqrt{(\log x)^2 + \delta^2 t^2}},$$

for all $x > 0$. The previous formulas can be found in [27]. It is known that

$$K_1(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right)$$

as $z \to \infty$ (see formula 9.7.2 in [1]). It follows that

$$D_t^{(2)}(x) = \frac{k(t)}{x}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha}}\frac{\exp\left\{-\alpha\sqrt{(\log x)^2+\delta^2 t^2}\right\}}{((\log x)^2+\delta^2 t^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right) \tag{99}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

Since for every $A > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{((\log x)^2+A)^{\frac{3}{4}}} = \frac{1}{((\log x)^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right)$$

and

$$\exp\left\{-\alpha\sqrt{(\log x)^2+A}\right\} = \exp\left\{-\alpha\sqrt{(\log x)^2}\right\}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right)$$

as $x \to \infty$, formula (99) implies that

$$D_t^{(2)}(x) = k(t)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha}}x^{-\alpha-1}(\log x)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right) \tag{100}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

It is not hard to see, using formulas (100) and (31), that for $A_3 < \alpha+1$, the Heston part of the mixed model dominates, while for $\alpha+1 < A_3$, the NIG part dominates. Our next goal is to apply Theorem 17 to the Heston+NIG model. The no-arbitrage condition for this model is the following:

$$\alpha \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \delta(\sqrt{\alpha^2-1}-\alpha), \tag{101}$$

where μ is the drift parameter in the Heston model. Recall that we assume that $r = 0$. Condition (101) can be obtained, using the mean-correcting argument (see the references in the beginning of Section 6) and an explicit formula for the characteristic function of the NIG distribution (see [7], see also [27], Section 5.39).

Theorem 20. *Suppose the no-arbitrage condition in (101) holds, and let $A_3 < \alpha+1$. Then formula (91) is valid for the implied volatility in the Heston+NIG model with*

$$r_1 = m_{A_3-1}\left(D_T^{(2)}\right)B_1,$$

$r_2 = A_2$, $r_3 = A_3$, and $r_4 = -\frac{3}{4}+\frac{a}{c^2}$. In addition, if $\alpha+1 < A_3$, then formula (91) is valid with

$$r_1 = k(t)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha}}m_\alpha\left(D_T^{(1)}\right),$$

$r_2 = 0$, $r_3 = \alpha+1$, and $r_4 = -\frac{3}{2}$.

Theorem 20 follows from (31), (100), Theorem 8, and Theorem 17. A similar theorem can be obtained in the case where $K \to 0$. We leave the formulation and the proof of such a theorem as an exercise for the interested reader.

Remark 9. The methods developed in the present paper are rather universal. They can be used to approximate the stock price density and the implied volatility in many mixed stochastic models. For instance, we can replace the Heston model with jumps by the Stein-Stein model with jumps (see [18] for the discussion of the asymptotic behavior of the stock price density in the Stein-Stein model), and also use jump processes different from the double exponential process or the NIG process. We only need to know appropriate asymptotic formulas with error estimates for the marginal distributions of the jump process, and such formulas are often available.

8 Acknowledgments

The authors thank R. Lee and S. De Marco for valuable comments, and also S. Gerhold for providing the references [13] and [28].

References

[1] M. Abramovitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds.), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions,* Applied Mathematics Series 55, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C., 1972.

[2] J. M. P. Albin and M. Sunden, On the asymptotic behavior of Lévy processes, Part I, Subexponential and exponential processes, *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications* **119** (2009) 281-304.

[3] L. Andersen, A. Lipton, Asymptotics for exponential Lévy processes and their volatility smile: survey and new results, Inernational Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance, **16,** Issue 1 (2013) p-1.98 p.

[4] L. B. G. Andersen and V. V. Piterbarg, Moment explosions in stochastic volatility models, *Finance and Stochastics* **11** (2007) 29-50.

[5] D. Arandelović, Sur un théorème mercerien asymptotique générale pour des fonctions à comportement régulier, *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique* **20** (1976) 29-36.

[6] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen, Exponentially decreasing distributions for the logarithm of particle size, *Proc. Roy, Soc. London* **A353** 77 (1977) 401-419.

[7] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen, Normal inverse Gaussian processes and the modelling of stock returns, Research Report 300. Department of Theoretical Statistics, Aarhus University, 1995.

[8] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen, Normal inverse Gaussian distributions and stochastic volatility modelling, *Scand. J. Statist.* **24** (1997) 1-13.

[9] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie and J. L. Teugels, *Regular Variation,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.

[10] N. Bleistein and R. A. Handelsman, *Asymptotic Expansions of Integrals,* Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1995.

[11] R. Cont and P. Tankov, *Financial modeling with jump processes,* Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2004.

[12] S. del Baño Rollin, A. Ferreiro-Castilla, and F. Utzet, On the density of log-spot in the Heston volatility model, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **120** (2010) 2037-2063.

[13] B. Epstein, Some applications of the Mellin transform is statistics, *Ann. Math. Statistics* **19** (1948) 370-379.

[14] P. Friz, S. Gerhold, A. Gulisashvili, and S. Sturm, On refined volatility smile expansion in the Heston model, *Quantitative Finance* **11** (2011) 1151-1164.

[15] K. Gao and R. Lee, Asymptotics of implied volatility to arbitrary order, to appear in *Finance and Stochastics*; available at http://ssrn.com/abstract=1768383.

[16] S. Gerhold, J. F. Morgenbesser, and A. Zrunek, Refined wing asymptotics for the Merton and Kou jump diffusion models, pre-print; available at http://arXiv:1401.1954v1.

[17] C. M. Goldie and R. L. Smith, Slow variation with remainder: theory and applications, *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* **38** (1987) 45-71.

[18] A. Gulisashvili, *Analytically Tractable Stochastic Stock Price Models,* Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.

[19] A. Gulisashvili and E. M. Stein, Asymptotic behavior of the stock price distribution density and implied volatility in stochastic volatility models, *Applied Mathematics and Optimization* **61** (2010) 287-315.

[20] A. Gulisashvili and J. Vives, Two-sided estimates for distribution densities in models with jumps, In: M. Zili and D. V. Filatova (eds.), *Stochastic Differential Equations and Processes, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics* **7,** 237-252, (Springer-Verlag Berlin, 2011).

[21] S. L. Heston, A closed-form solution for options with stochastic volatility, with applications to bond and currency options, *Review of Financial Studies,* **6** (1993) 327-343.

[22] M. Keller-Ressel, Moment explosions and long-term behavior of affine stochastic volatility models, *Mathematical Finance* **21** (2011) 73-98.

[23] S. G. Kou, A jump diffusion model for option pricing, *Management Science* **48** (2002) 1086-1011.

[24] S. G. Kou and H. Wang, Option pricing under a double exponential jump diffusion model, *Management Science* **50** (2003) 1178-1192.

[25] J. L. Lavoie, T. J. Osler, and R. Tremblay, Fractional derivatives and special functions, *SIAM Review* **18** (1976) 240-268.

[26] P. E. Protter, *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations,* 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag Berlin, 2004.

[27] W. Schoutens, *Lévy processes in Finance, Pricing Financial Derivatives,* Wiley, Chichester, 2003.

[28] R. Wong, *Asymptotic Approximations of Integrals,* Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2001.

[29] A. Zrunek, *Volatility smile exansions in Lévy models,* master's thesis, Vienna University of Technology, December 2013.